



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/150,130	09/09/98	BEIGEL M	PREC1-P5408

THOMAS P. MAHONEY
660 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE
SUITE 710
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

LM12/0408

EXAMINER
SWANN III, G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2736	4

DATE MAILED: 04/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/150,130

Applicant(s)
Beigel

Examiner
Glen R. Swann III

Group Art Unit
2736



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Glen R. Swann III (3) _____
(2) Tom Mahone (4) _____

Date of Interview 6 Apr 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 5, 6, and 10

Identification of prior art discussed:

NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Mahone pointed out the difference between claims 5 and 6 and was advised to include this in his remarks when he responds to the Office action of 05 JAN 1999. It was agreed that paragraph 3 of that action was erroneous and no such change was needed. It was pointed out in what respect figures 4 & 7 showed alternative forms of construction. Mr. Mahone raised a question regarding the corresponding PCT application and was referred to Jim Groody.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**GLEN R. SWANN III
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2736**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.